

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ML

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/810,487	03/26/2004	Darwyn Peachey	021751-001400US	8141	
68218 7590 03/23/2007 TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP/PIXAR TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER			EXAMINER		
			YANG, ANDREW GUS		
EIGHTH FLO SAN FRANCI	ISCO, CA 94111-3834	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,		2628		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/23/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/810,487	PEACHEY, DARWYN	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Andrew Yang	2628	

	•	Andrew Yang	2628					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 15 March 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
. 🛛 The this plac a Re	☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
b) 🗌	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee ave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as et forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, nay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
filing	Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extentice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed ENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
(a) [(b) [e proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);					
	They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	116 and 41.33(a)).						
=	amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
	plicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		time also file al amage along	ent consoling the				
	wly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a allowable claim(s).	illowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
7. For how The Clai Clai Clai	purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) the new or amended claims would be rejected is prostatus of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: m(s) allowed: m(s) objected to: m(s) rejected: 1-23. m(s) withdrawn from consideration:		II be entered and an e	explanation of				
	T OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
3. 🔲 The beca	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).								
	0. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.							
11. 🛛 Th	TFOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER e request for reconsideration has been considered by e Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
	te the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	Markeyin					
13. 🔲 Utl	ner:	•	MARK ZIMME	RMAN				
			SUPERVISORY PATE	VT EXAMINER				
	·		TECHNOLOGY CE	MTER 2600				

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: amendments of claims 1-2, 8, and 14 change the scope of the claims by including the limitation of querying a database external to the computer system.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that Gossweiler teaches away from the concept of querying a database to retrieve different LOD models for an object as needed; however, applicant has not pointed out why the motivation in the previous rejection is insufficient. Applicant argues that Gossweiler teaches away from storing only a single representation of an object, but this is not found in the claim limitations. Further arguments are directed towards amended claims which have not been entered, see 3 above.